

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,176	01/14/2005	Hiroshi Okamura	OKAMURA6	2935
1444 BROWDY AN	7590 02/15/2007 ID NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.		EXAM	INER
624 NINTH ST	•		OKAMURA6 2935 EXAMINER BHAT, NARAYAN KAMESHWAR	N KAMESHWAR
SUITE 300 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20001-5303		ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1634	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
31.1	DAYS	02/15/2007	PAP	FR

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/521,176	OKAMURA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Narayan K. Bhat	1634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addres	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commu. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	•
Disposition of Claims		·	
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdi			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			4
8) Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·		
Application Papers		•	-
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	• •		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	=		40475
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action of form PTO-1	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No	•
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr			ge
application from the International Bure	•	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li		t received.	•
	•		
Attachment(s)		•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application	
S. Patent and Trademark Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 2	0070207
, , ,	•	•	

Application/Control Number: 10/521,176

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I claims 1-9 are drawn to solid support having electrostatic layer for electrostatically attracting nucleic acid molecules.

Group II claims 10-11 are drawn to method of producing solid support.

Group III claims 12-16 are drawn to method of immobilizing nucleic acid molecules and detection.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The group I invention is drawn to solid support having electrostatic layer for electrostatically attracting nucleic acid molecules and a functional group capable of binding to nucleic acids is taught by Chrisey et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,688,642 issued on 18 November, 1997, See the abstract and Fig. 3) and therefore is not a contribution over the prior art.

Thus, there is no special technical feature linking the recited groups, as would be necessary to fulfill the requirements for unity of invention.

Art Unit: 1634

Notice of Possible Rejoinder

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Narayan K. Bhat whose telephone number is (571)-272-5540. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30 am to 5 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/521,176

Art Unit: 1634

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram R. Shukla can be reached on (571)-272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Narayan K. Bhat, Ph. D.

Examiner

Art Unit 1634

JULIET C. SWITZER